



MEETING AGENDA

Monday, September 19, 2022, 10:30 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.

Hybrid Meeting – In-Person and by Videoconference

The meeting of the San Mateo County Child Care Partnership Council will be held at the San Mateo County Office of Education, 101 Twin Dolphin Dr., Redwood City, CA 94065.

Members of the public will be able to participate in the meeting remotely via the Zoom platform or in-person. *Spanish interpretation will be provided in the Zoom meeting.* For remote participation:

Website Link: <https://smcoe.zoom.us/j/98031361577?pwd=NzVxUi9VQTBQZnZYWTZzY2dJNF13Zz09>

Meeting ID: 980 3136 1577

Passcode: 101

Dial-In Option: 1-669-900-9128

Call to Order	Supervisor Pine
Action to Set Agenda for September 19, 2022 Meeting and Approval of Minutes for June 27 and May 16, 2022 Meetings <i>ATTACHMENT 1 - Minutes</i>	Supervisor Pine <i>ACTION</i>
Public Comment*	Supervisor Pine
Appointment of New Member	Supervisor Pine
Set Meeting Dates for 2022-23 <i>ATTACHMENT 2</i>	Sarah Kinahan <i>ACTION</i>
Annual Self-Evaluation <i>ATTACHMENT 3</i>	Sarah Kinahan <i>ACTION</i>
Coordinator's Report <i>ATTACHMENT 4</i>	Sarah Kinahan
Overview of the ECE4AllSSF Initiative <i>ATTACHMENT 5</i>	Sarah Kinahan
CCPC Strategic Plan	
Committees' Reports:	
• Workforce	Heather Cleary
• Access	Christine Padilla
• Quality	Edirle Menezes/Liz Scully
• Leadership and Impact	Michelle Blakely
Adjournment	Supervisor Pine

The next CCPC meeting is November 28, 2022

*** Public Comment:** This item is reserved for persons wishing to address the Council on any Council-related matters that are as follows: 1) Not otherwise on this meeting agenda; 2) Coordinator's Report on the Regular Agenda; or 3) Committee Members' Reports on the Regular Agenda. Public comments on matters not listed above shall be heard at the time the matter is called.

Persons wishing to address a particular agenda item should speak during that agenda item. For in-person attendees, please raise your hand to be called on to speak. For remote attendees, if you wish to speak to the Council, please use the "Chat" or "Raise Your Hand" feature to be called on to provide comment. If you have anything that you wish to be distributed to the Council and included in the official record, please email it to Ian Johnson, ijohnson@smcoe.org, who will distribute the information to the Council Members and staff. Speakers are customarily limited to two minutes, but an extension may be provided to you at the discretion of the Council Co-Chairs.

Public records that relate to any item on the open session agenda for a regular Council meeting are available for public inspection. Those records that are distributed less than 72 hours prior to the meeting are available for public inspection at the same time they are distributed to all members, or a majority of the members of the Council. The Council has designated the San Mateo County Office of Education office located at 101 Twin Dolphin Drive, Redwood City, CA, 94065, for making those public records available for inspection. The documents are also available on the Council Internet Web site at <https://www.smcoe.org/about/child-care-partnership-council/>.

IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE AND THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT: Child Care Partnership Council meetings are accessible to individuals with disabilities. Contact Ian Johnson at (650) 802-5601, TDD (650) 802-5480, or at ijohnson@smcoe.org as soon as possible prior to the meeting, if (1) you need special assistance or a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, in order to participate in this meeting; or (2) you have a disability and wish to receive the meeting notice, agenda packet or other writings that may be distributed at the meeting in an alternative format. Notification in advance of the meeting will enable the Child Care Partnership Council to make reasonable arrangements to ensure full accessibility to this meeting and the materials related to it.

CCPC Proposed Calendar of Meetings for 2022-23

Monday, September 19, 2022	10:30 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.
Monday, November 28, 2022	10:30 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.
Monday, January 23, 2023	10:30 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.
Monday, March 20, 2023	10:30 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.
Monday, May 15, 2023	10:30 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.
Monday, June 26, 2023	10:30 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.

Meeting agendas and minutes are posted on the Council website, <https://www.smcoe.org/about/child-care-partnership-council/>.

Location: 101 Twin Dolphin Drive, Redwood City 94065 or via Zoom Meeting



**SAN MATEO COUNTY CHILD CARE PARTNERSHIP COUNCIL
MEETING MINUTES**

Monday, June 27, 2022

Hybrid Meeting via Zoom and at 101 Twin Dolphin Drive, Redwood City, CA, 94065

CCPC Members Present at 101 Twin Dolphin: Michelle Blakely, Julie Cates, Heather Cleary, Karen Haas-Foletta, Valerie Higgins, Karen Pace, Jennifer Pifeleti, Christine Thorsteinson, Guillermo Vasquez

CCPC Co-chair Present at 101 Twin Dolphin: Supervisor Dave Pine

CCPC Members Present on Zoom: Elizabeth Foster, Alice Kuo, Cheryl Oku, Tina Watts

CCPC Co-chair Present on Zoom: County Superintendent Nancy Magee (Late)

CCPC Members Absent: Karen Alden, David Fleishman, Heather Hopkins, Christine Padilla, Elizabeth Scully, Tal Tamir, Lisa Zmiga

Staff: Sarah Kinahan/Ian Johnson

Minutes: Sarah Kinahan

1. Call to Order

A quorum was not present at 101 Twin Dolphin Drive. Supervisor Dave Pine called the meeting to order at 10:33 a.m. The Council did not take action on any items and proceeded with information-only presentations.

2. Coordinators Report

Sarah Kinahan gave a brief update on the state budget for 2022-23.

3. CCPC Needs Assessment

The Institute for Families and Nannies, Seed Collaborative, and Brion Economics presented updates on the nanny survey, workforce survey and supply and demand components of the CCPC's 2022 needs assessment. The needs assessment will be completed in Fall 2022.

4. Cradle-to-Career Initiative Planning

Superintendent Magee presented a brief update on countywide planning for a Cradle-to-Career Initiative.

5. Committees' Reports

Heather Cleary, Michelle Blakely, and Sarah Kinahan reported on the most recent Workforce, Leadership and Impact, and Access Committee meetings.

6. Adjournment

County Supervisor Dave Pine adjourned the meeting at 12:16p.m.

The next meeting will be held on September 18, 2022, at 10:30 a.m.



**SAN MATEO COUNTY CHILD CARE PARTNERSHIP COUNCIL
MEETING MINUTES**

**Monday, May 16, 2022
Virtual Meeting via Zoom**

CCPC Members Present: Karen Alden, Michelle Blakely, Julie Cates, Heather Cleary, David Fleishman, Elizabeth Foster (Left early), Karen Haas-Foletta, Valerie Higgins (Late), Heather Hopkins, Cheryl Oku, Karen Pace, Christine Padilla, Jenifer Pifeleti, Elizabeth Scully, Tal Tamir (Left early), Christine Thorsteinson, Guillermo Vasquez (Late), Lisa Zimiga

CCPC Members Absent: Alice Kuo, Tina Watts,

CCPC Co-chairs Present: County Superintendent Nancy Magee, Supervisor Dave Pine

Staff: Sarah Kinahan/Ian Johnson

Minutes: Ian Johnson

1. Call to Order

A quorum was present. Supervisor Dave Pine called the meeting to order at 10:33 a.m.

- 2. Action to adopt a resolution finding that, as a result of continuing COVID-19 pandemic state of emergency, meeting in person for the Child Care Partnership Council would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees (Attachments #1A and #1B)**

MOTION: ALDEN/ SECOND: PACE

AYES (ROLL CALL VOTE): Karen Alden, Michelle Blakely, Julie Cates, Heather Cleary, David Fleishman, Elizabeth Foster, Karen Haas-Foletta, Heather Hopkins, Superintendent Nancy Magee, Cheryl Oku, Karen Pace, Christine Padilla, Jenifer Pifeleti, Supervisor Dave Pine, Elizabeth Scully, Tal Tamir, Christine Thorsteinson, Lisa Zimiga

NOES: NONE.

ABSTAIN:

MOTION APPROVED.

- 3. Action to Set Agenda for the May 16, 2022, Meeting and Approval of Minutes for March 21, 2022, meeting (Attachment #2)**

MOTION: THORSTEINSON/ SECOND: ZIMIGA

AYES (ROLL CALL VOTE): Karen Alden, Michelle Blakely, Julie Cates, Heather Cleary, David Fleishman, Elizabeth Foster, Karen Haas-Foletta, Heather Hopkins, Superintendent Nancy Magee, Cheryl Oku, Karen Pace, Christine Padilla, Jenifer Pifeleti, Supervisor Dave Pine, Elizabeth Scully, Tal Tamir, Christine Thorsteinson, Lisa Zimiga

NOES: NONE.

ABSTAIN: NONE.

MOTION APPROVED.

4. Public Comment

Jessica Mihaly shared that she will be stepping down from her position with Menlo Park City School District at the end of the school year. Hannah Stewart will be assuming the early learning director position at the Menlo Park City School District and will oversee their preschools and their Transitional Kindergarten implementation.

5. Presentation – Choose Children Campaign

Christine Thorsteinson and Cheye Calvo

Christine Thorsteinson and Cheye Calvo presented on the Choose Children campaign, being led by the Silicon Valley Community Foundation. Choose Children is a non-partisan initiative in San Mateo and Santa Clara counties committed to elevating issues important to our younger kids and their families in the 2022 local election. The Choose Children campaign goal is to advance children and give them the best possible start in life and establish solutions to create a region where young children and their families can thrive, ensuring that officials are champions for kids and continue to be, and that the public understands and prioritizes these issues when they're making their choices at the ballot box. For more information visit www.choosechildren.org. *(Additional links are available in the link section of the CCPC General Meeting Minutes.)*

6. Discussion of SMCOE’s Universal Prekindergarten Plan

Marco Chávez

Marco Chávez, Deputy Superintendent of Educational Services at SMCOE provided an update on of the UPK Planning and activities SMCOE has been engaged in and provided an opportunity for the group to provide feedback.

- The San Mateo County Office of Education held informational sessions January through April 2022 with Local Education Agencies (LEAs), CBOs (community-based organizations), and specialized groups like the San Mateo Systems Change Group for Young Children.
- SMCOE also issued a feedback survey; a second survey will be issued to dive a little bit deeper into the needs exposed by the initial survey. Some keys issues raised in the initial survey were workforce development and credentialing support for preschool and TK teachers, funding and partnership opportunities for CBO/non-LEAs, providing examples of program structures with blended funding for UPK/UTK, establishing a listserv to provide UPK updates, and delivering technical assistance on strategies to provide a mixed delivery system for full-day care.
- In partnering with our community colleges (Skyline and Cañada college), a menu of coursework (24 ECE units) has been developed and shared with school districts for teachers to engage with over the summer.
- SMCOE will also offer a summer institute to provide strategies to teachers that are going to be teaching TK (UTK Summer Institute: July 25-29).
- SMCOE has also engaged in writing the Teacher Residency Capacity Planning Grant: UPK (Awarded) and the Early Education Teacher Development Grant (EETD), leading a consortium 8 LEAs (Due 5/27/22). The purpose of the Teacher Residency Capacity Planning Grant is to expand, strengthen, improve access to, or create teacher residency programs. The Early Education Teacher Development Grant (EETD) was established as a state initiative with the goal of expanding access to classroom-based prekindergarten programs at local educational agencies (LEAs) and planning for the increased number of teachers needed to fully implement Universal Prekindergarten.
- SMCOE has also developed a directory of Licensed Preschool and School Age Child Care Program in San Mateo County in response to requests received by the school districts and early learning providers.

Following the update, Marco Chávez asked CCPC members and guest to ask questions or provide feedback. Deputy Superintendent Chávez also shared a survey link asking for CCPC members, guests, and stakeholders to provide thoughts and feedback on UPK implementation. *(The survey link is available in the link section of the CCPC General Meeting Minutes.)*

7. Coordinator’s Report (Attachment #3)

Sarah Kinahan reviewed highlights from the Coordinator’s Report (Attachment #3 in the May 16, 2022, meeting packet). She additionally shared highlights of the Governor’s May Revise budget which included:

- \$403 million additional for the Expanded Learning Opportunities Program bringing the total funding to \$4.8 billion for 22-23.
- Waiver of family fees for state preschool, general child care, and the alternative payment program.

- Extension of “hold harmless” policy to fund state preschool, general child care, and the alternative payment program based on either authorized care or contract amount, rather than child attendance.
- A local assignment option to allow a teacher with a BA and teacher permit to teach in a TK classroom if they are also enrolled in a credential program.
- Addition of \$205 million for facilities renovation and repair.

8. Renew Zip Code Priorities for 2022-23 (Attachment #4) Sarah Kinahan

The CCPC updated zip code priorities in 2021. As new data has not been provided yet to make changes to these priorities, the CCPC proposed that the previous year (2021) Zip Code priorities be carried over for another year. With the completion of the CCPC Needs Assessment in 2022, the CCPC will have new data to make changes to the Zip Code Priorities for 2023-2024.

9. Action to renew last year’s zip code priorities for 2022-23 (Attachment #4) Sarah Kinahan

MOTION: FLEISHMAN/ SECOND: PIPELETI

AYES (ROLL CALL VOTE): Karen Alden, Michelle Blakely, Julie Cates, Heather Cleary, David Fleishman, Karen Haas-Foletta, Heather Hopkins, Superintendent Nancy Magee, Cheryl Oku, Karen Pace, Christine Padilla, Jenifer Pifeleti, Supervisor Dave Pine, Elizabeth Scully, Christine Thorsteinson, Lisa Zimiga

NOES: NONE.

ABSTAIN: NONE.

MOTION APPROVED.

10. Committees’ Reports

The chairs for the Access, Leadership and Impact, Quality, and Workforce Committees provided brief updates on committee work.

- Heather Cleary shared that the Workforce Committee met on May 2nd, 2022. The committee is currently prioritizing supporting proposals for using ARPA funds to build the local workforce, and the San Mateo County Office of Education’s Early Education Teacher Development Grant. The Workforce Committee also asks that in this time of transition and establishing UPK/UTK that the focus to supporting the 0 to 3 workforce remains consistent.
- The Access Committee did not meet and will provide an update at the next meeting.
- The Quality Committee did not meet and will provide an update at the next meeting.
- The Leadership and Impact Committee did not meet and will provide an update at the next meeting.

CCPC Committees did not meet today, to access Committee Agenda and Meeting Minutes, please visit: <https://www.smcoe.org/about/child-care-partnership-council/agendas-and-minutes.html>

11. Adjournment

County Supervisor Dave Pine adjourned the meeting at 11:43a.m.

The next meeting will be held on June 27, 2022, at 10:30 a.m.

Links shared during this meeting:

Choose Children

- Choose Children candidate forum recordings available here [\(CLICK HERE\)](#)
- Link to press release with survey information [\(CLICK HERE\)](#)

UPK SMCOE

- Universal Prekindergarten (UPK) SMCOE Plan [\(CLICK HERE\)](#)
- Universal Prekindergarten (UPK) SMCOE Feedback Survey [\(CLICK HERE\)](#)
- Directory of Licensed Preschools and Afterschool Programs in San Mateo County [\(CLICK HERE\)](#)



Calendar of Regular Meetings

2022 — 2023

<i>Date</i>	<i>Time</i>	<i>Location</i>
<i>Monday, September 19, 2022</i>	<i>10:30 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.</i>	<i>SMCOE</i>
<i>Monday, November 28, 2022</i>	<i>10:30 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.</i>	<i>SMCOE</i>
<i>Monday, January 23, 2023</i>	<i>10:30 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.</i>	<i>SMCOE</i>
<i>Monday, March 20, 2023</i>	<i>10:30 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.</i>	<i>SMCOE</i>
<i>Monday, May 15, 2023</i>	<i>10:30 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.</i>	<i>SMCOE</i>
<i>Monday, June 26, 2023</i>	<i>10:30 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.</i>	<i>SMCOE</i>

The full Council meets from 10:30 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.

Location: *San Mateo County Office of
Education 101 Twin Dolphin Drive
Redwood City 94065*

All meetings are open to the public.

San Mateo County Child Care Partnership Council responses to CDSS's Annual Summary and Self-Evaluation Findings Report, 09-19-22

SECTION: Contact and Agency Information

1. LPC Coordinator or Agency Representative
 - a. Full Name Sarah Kinahan
 - b. Phone Number 650-802-567
 - c. Email Address skinahan@smcoe.org
2. Legal Agency Name San Mateo County Superintendent of Schools
3. Agency Address 101 Twin Dolphin Drive, Redwood City, CA, 94065
4. Agency County(ies) San Mateo
5. LPC Contract Number CLPC-2039
Please enter numbers only.
6. Contract Amount \$64,215
Please enter numbers only.

SECTION: Important Dates

7. Recent Self-Evaluation Completion Date 09-19-2022
8. Recent Needs Assessment Submission Date (required every five years) 11-01-2017
9. Recent Comprehensive Child Care and Development Plan Completion Date
03-26-2019
10. Select current Fiscal Year/Program Year [multiple choice]
 - a. FY 2022-2023
 - b. FY 2023-2024
 - c. FY 2024-2025
 - d. FY 2025-2026
 - e. FY 2026-2027

SECTION: WIC Compliance Status

Note: LPCs will report data from the last Fiscal Year July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022. You will now be selecting compliant or noncompliant based on the Statue.

11. **Welfare and Institute Code (WIC) 10485-10487 Involvement in Local Priority Setting Process** [multiple choice]
 - a. Compliant
 - b. Noncompliant

12. Explain examples of compliance findings [comment box]

Parents, staff, Board of Supervisors, County Superintendent of Schools, LPC members, and the public at large participate in reviewing and evaluating core data elements and determine

local priority areas of unmet child care and development services for all children following the criteria set forth by CDSS. In 21-22, the CCPC voted in a public hearing to carry forward the 20-21 priorities because new data on the number of eligible children was not available.

13. Explain examples of noncompliance findings [comment box]

N/A

14. **WIC Section 10485 Governance and Administration** [multiple choice]

a. **Compliant**

b. Noncompliant

15. Explain examples of compliance findings [comment box]

Policies, needs assessments, comprehensive child care and development plans, and administration of LPC categorical programs meet statutory requirements. We are currently executing our multi-year strategic plan that was based on our 2017 Needs Assessment. We are in the midst of conducting a new needs assessment. The needs assessment should be complete by November 2022. This needs assessment will inform the next countywide strategic plan.

16. Explain examples of noncompliance findings [comment box]

N/A

17. **WIC Section 10486 (a)(f)(1)(C)(F)(G)(K)(5), 10487 Funding** [multiple choice]

a. **Compliant**

b. Noncompliant

18. Explain examples of compliance findings [comment box]

Allocation and use of funds meet statutory requirements for allowable expenditures. The CCPC leverages the fiscal expertise of the SMCOE Business Office to ensure compliance with all Funding Terms & Conditions. The CCPC Coordinator is supervised by SMCOE's Executive Director for PK-3 and Early Education which adds another level of oversight and accountability.

19. Explain examples of noncompliance findings [comment box]

N/A

20. **WIC Section 10486 (b)(1)(K)(2)(3)(4)(5)(7)(9)(10)(c)(d) Standards, Assessment, and Accountability** [multiple choice]

a. **Compliant**

b. Noncompliant

21. Explain examples of compliance findings [comment box]

The CCPC membership meets state standards for membership certification. All positions on

the CCPC are filled and meet the requirements for the percentage representation for consumers, providers, public agencies, community organizations, and other stakeholders. New members were recruited this year from underrepresented ethnic, gender, and linguistic groups. We on-boarded five new members this year in the categories of consumer, child care provider, and community representative.

22. Explain examples of noncompliance findings [comment box]

N/A

23. WIC Section 10485 (2)(g) Staffing and Professional Development [multiple choice]

a. Compliant

b. Noncompliant

24. Explain examples of compliance findings [comment box]

Staff members and LPC members are recruited, trained, assigned tasks, and assisted to ensure the effectiveness of the program. All of the CCPC Member Orientation materials have been made accessible online and each new member receives an orientation session. The LPC Coordinator is able to access professional development through the San Mateo County Office of Education and participates in SMCOE's regular leadership seminars. The LPC Coordinator works one-on-one with committee chairs to make sure they have all the support they need.

25. Explain examples of noncompliance findings [comment box]

N/A

26. WIC Section 10486 (b)(3),(b)(A)(B)(D)(E)(H)(I)(J)(K)(5) Opportunity and Equal Educational Access [multiple choice]

a. Compliant

b. Noncompliant

27. Explain examples of compliance findings [comment box]

All participants have equal access to all programs provided by the LPC, as required by law. All CCPC meetings are open to the public and conducted in accordance with the Brown Act. In 2020, we moved to holding meetings virtually via zoom. This increased participation dramatically. In 2022, we began to shift to offer a hybrid of in-person and zoom with CCPC voting members being required to attend in-person. We ensure that all of our online materials meeting accessibility standards.

28. Explain examples of noncompliance findings [comment box]

N/A

29. WIC Section 10485 (K)(3)(4)(6) Collaboration Activities [multiple choice]

a. Compliant

b. Noncompliant

30. Explain examples of compliance findings [comment box]

The CCPC coordinates and collaborates with multiple partners to mobilize public and private resources to meet the identified local child care and development needs in the local communities. The CCPC Coordinator regularly collaborates with partners both internally at SMCOE and externally at organization such as First 5 SMC, 4Cs, the County of San Mateo, Build Up SMC, Community Equity Collaborative, and many others. One example of collaboration has been the San Mateo County COVID-19 Child Care Response Team. The CCPC Coordinator facilitated a group of eleven partner organizations that met for 90 weeks and focused on the following during the pandemic: consistent communication, technical assistance and informational workshops for families and providers, coordinated fundraising, and organized advocacy.

31. Explain examples of noncompliance findings [comment box]

N/A

SECTION: Summary of Self-Evaluation Findings

32. Describe the annual report process and who participated in the process. Describe the role and level of participation and contribution by parents, staff, Board of Supervisors, Superintendent of Schools, and your LPC members in the Self-Evaluation process.

The San Mateo County Child Care Partnership Council (CCPC) completed its self-evaluation during their September 19, 2022 meeting. The CCPC memberships is comprised of parents, providers, community-based organizations, government representatives and other key stakeholders. The self-evaluation was facilitated by the CCPC Coordinator. The group reviewed the areas of WIC Compliance and determined that the CCPC was in compliance with all areas.

The CCPC has not made any changes to its handbook or process this year.

33. Indicate the start date of your self-evaluation 09-19-2022

34. Indicate the completion date of your self-evaluation 09-19-2022

35. Certification [checkboxes]

a. By checking this box, I certify that an agency Self Evaluation has been completed by this agency on the date specified above and that the appropriate review instrument(s) were used.

b. By checking this box, I certify that at least one LPC Representative who participated in the agency's completed self-evaluation process (described above) has reviewed and approved this document.

36. Authorized Agency Representative or LPC Representative: [signature]

37. Name of LPC Chairperson or Representative who participated in the agency's completed self-evaluation process: Dave Pine, District 1 Supervisor

38. Date of LPC Chairperson or Representative Certification that confirms that the information submitted was validated upon completion: [date]

Coordinator's Report for September 2022

- Significant Legislation
- FY22-23 State Budget
- County ARPA Funds Update
- Needs Assessment Update



Significant Legislation on the Governor's Desk

- **AB 321 (Valladares): Enrollment Priority for English Learners** – children in family with primary home language other than English have priority for services after children with exceptional needs
- **AB 2131 (Mullin): Licensing** – gives CDSS authority to implement the new single license for all providers via All County Letter while regulations are being developed
- **AB 2806 (Rubio): Suspension & Expulsion / ECMH** – would prohibit expulsion from child development program for behavior (with some exceptions), would establish requirements for use of suspension, requires CSPP and CCTR to collect data on suspension/expulsion. Also updates definition of mental health consultation and expands types of professionals who can provide with training.
- **SB 1047 (Limon): Categorical Eligibility & 24 Month Eligibility** – extends eligibility for CSPP/CCTR to families in which a member of the family has been certified as eligible to receive benefits from certain means-tested government programs, including Medi-Cal and CalFresh; 24 month eligibility for CCTR.

Significant Legislation the Died

- **AB 92 (Reyes): Family Fees** (Governor vetoed)
- **AB 1728 (Rivas): CalWORKs work exemptions** (Senate Appropriations)
- **AB 2401 (McCarty): Expanded teacher credentialing including PK/TK** (Senate Appropriations)
- **AB 2501 (Carrillo & McCarty): CA Universal Afterschool Workgroup** (Senate Appropriations)
- **SB 976 (Leyva): Universal Preschool** (Assembly Education) – Would establish a free preschool program for all 3 and 4 year olds; staff must be paid a living wage; no fees for permits; mixed delivery.
- **SB 1481 (Becker): Meals in Preschool/Child Development Programs** (Assembly Appropriations)



FY22-23 State Budget

[Budget Summary](#) - See pages: 20-23, and 76-7

[SB 154](#) (Budget Act of 2022)

[AB 178](#) (Budget Act of 2022)

[AB 185](#) (Education finance: education omnibus trailer bill 21-22)

[SB 185](#) (Education finance: education omnibus trailer bill 21-22)

[AB 210](#) (Early Childhood: Child Care and Education Omnibus trailer bill)

[SB 187](#) (Human Services Omnibus trailer bill)

[AB 181](#) (Education Finance Omnibus trailer bill)



New Funding for Facilities- Competitive

Name of Funding	Amount	How Funded	Timeline	Allowable Use of Funds
Facilities Renovation and Repair - LEAs	\$100 million statewide	State General Fund	No date yet	State preschool, TK, and Full-Day K construction or classroom retrofit
Facilities Renovation and Repair - CBOs	\$150M for new construction and additional \$100.5M to fund applicants for renovation & repair	State General Fund and Federal Funds	CDSS has 2 years to release funds; Construction has to be done by 6/30/23	Build new facilities or retrofit, renovate, repair or expand existing facilities

FY22-23 Budget – Title V & APP

- 6.56% COLA: In our county, COLA will be applied to LPC, R&R, APP; CSPP and CCTR will not receive a COLA because the new Regional Market Rate is already higher than the Standard Reimbursement Rate with a COLA.
- Subsidized Slots: Budget includes 36,000 new slots through child care vouchers and general child care contracts (CCTR). Don't yet know how these will be distributed.
- Hold Harmless: Applies to CCTR, CSPP, CAPP, and CalWORKs Stage 2 &3. Continues the hold harmless provision to reimburse voucher-based providers for maximum authorized hours of care. Direct contractors will be reimbursed for 100% of MRA or the net reimbursable program costs, whichever is less.
- Family Fees: Waived for CCTR, CSPP, CAPP, and CalWORKs for 22-23 year.
- Rate Reform: \$413M to sustain the rate increases implemented in January 2021.
- Emergency Child Care Bridge Program: \$35M to support and expand the Emergency Child Care Bridge Program for foster children + \$7.6M for administration of program.

Changes to California State Preschool (CSPP) Only

- CSPP Income Eligibility: Increases income eligibility to 100% of State Median Income (SMI). Also increase eligibility for CSPP to a 24-month period (we already have in San Mateo County through our Pilot).
- Adjustment Factors for CSPP: Increased adjustment factors for children with disabilities, dual language learners, mental health consultation services, and a new adjustment factor to support serving three-year-old children (\$485M).
- Children with IEPs: Phased in requirement to reserve 10% of enrollment in CSPP for children with IEPs by 2024-25 (these children now have categorical eligibility for CSPP).



Funding for UPK/UTK

- Planning and Implementation Supports for Universal PreKindergarten (UPK): \$300M to support planning and implementation costs to expand access to classroom-based PreK at local educational agencies (LEAs). In addition, budget includes \$18.3M to support the “California Universal Preschool Planning Grant Program,” which will support additional planning for serving three- and four-year-old children across the mixed delivery system. LPCs and R&Rs with 1st and 2nd priority, respectively, for grants.
- TK Expansion: \$614M to cover the first year of TK expansion. Budget also clarifies financial penalties for not meeting teacher, class size, or ratio requirements in TK. Also includes \$383M to add one additional staff to each TK classroom (certificated or classified). Gives the CTC authority to issue a one-year emergency specialist teaching permit (which can be renewed for an additional year) in ECE that would authorize teaching in TK classroom for teachers who hold a bachelor's degree and either a Teacher or Master Teacher Permit.

More Significant FY22-23 Budget Items

- Funding for R&Rs: Increase capacity to administer the Alternative Payment Program (APP) (\$20M), increase to the Child Care Initiative Project (CCIP), and an ongoing increase to R&Rs to support pandemic recovery and infrastructure technical assistance (\$10M).
- Inclusive Early Education Expansion Program: \$250M to expand the Inclusive Early Education Expansion Program (IEEEP).
- Expanded Learning Opportunities Program Expansion: Significant expansion of the ELOP to a total of \$4B to support before school, after school, summer, or intersession learning programs. Sets out new student-to-staff member ratios for programs serving TK and K students.



Update on County ARPA Funds – Tranche 2

In July, the **Board of Supervisors allocated:**

- **\$4,100,000** for the 2022 **Childcare Grant Program** to provide grants to San Mateo County Child Care Centers and Family Child Care Homes
- **\$2,562,500** for the 2022-2023 **Out-of-School Care Grant Program** to increase the availability of before and after school and school vacation programs for socioeconomically disadvantaged students in the County

Both grant programs administered through the **SMCU Community Fund**.

CCPC, First 5 SMC and 4Cs have been working with partners to develop a **proposal for workforce supports** based on the CCPC's recommendations for ARPA funds that were approved last November.

- Approximately **\$350k** to support a **Career Navigator** position and **wrap-around supports** for people entering or advancing in ECE careers.



Update on the Needs Assessment

- In final stage of report preparation.
- Parent survey closed on 9/16 with over 1,100 responses. Partnered with SMCOE's Center for Learning Analytics on survey administration
- Ad Hoc committee meeting in September and October to review all reports and finalize.
- Will be presented to CCPC in November and then go to Board of Supervisors for approval.
- Will develop short briefs or graphics to more easily share key findings of the lengthy need assessment.





MARK NAGALES, MAYOR (DIST. 2)
FLOR NICOLAS, VICE MAYOR
MARK ADDIEGO, MEMBER
JAMES COLEMAN, MEMBER (DIST. 4)
EDDIE FLORES, MEMBER

MIKE FUTRELL, CITY MANAGER

January 5, 2022

Re: Title and Summary for Proposed Initiative Ordinance to Enact an Annual Parcel Tax on Some Commercial Office Properties for Early Care and Education and Childcare and Development Programs

To All Interested Parties:

Attached is the City Attorney's title and summary for a proposed initiative ordinance to enact an annual parcel tax on some commercial office properties for early care and education and childcare and development programs. In providing this title and summary, the City Attorney makes no representations regarding the merits or legality of the proposed legislation. Additionally, the City Attorney does not verify or confirm any factual or legal assertion made in the proposed legislation. The title and summary is presented as a "true and impartial statement of the purpose of the proposed measure," as required by Elections Code section 9203.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "S Woodruff".

Sky Woodruff
City Attorney, South San Francisco

Annual Parcel Tax on Some Commercial Office Properties for Early Care and Education and Childcare and Development Programs

The proposed initiative ordinance (“ordinance”) would levy an annual parcel tax on some commercial office properties. The tax rate would be \$2.50 per square foot of parcel size. “Commercial office parcel” is defined to mean any parcel of real estate in the City of South San Francisco “that is developed and used by a business entity primarily for operations or services that are professional, scientific, or technical in nature. Such services or operations include but are not limited to computer programming, data processing, research and development activities, or operation of an internet retailing business. Such services or operations do not include warehousing, industrial, or retail activities.” The tax would not apply to commercial office parcels that are less than 25,000 square feet. The tax would be collected each year with regular property taxes.

Revenue from the tax could only be used for specified purposes. First, eligible children would be able to attend early care and education and childcare and development programs offered by participating family childcare providers (“providers”) and early learning and care centers (“centers”), as defined in the ordinance, at no cost. Eligible children are defined as between the ages of 2.5 and 5 years, with a parent, legal guardian, foster parent, or legal caregiver who resides or is employed within the boundaries of the South San Francisco Unified School District (“School District”).

Centers and providers that are paid with revenue from the tax for eligible children to attend must be located within the boundaries of the School District and must compensate teachers and staff members who are providing early care education to eligible children in amounts determined through a process described in the ordinance. Funding from the tax would pay for up to 10 hours of care and learning for eligible children each day. Participating centers and providers would have to offer half-day and full-day schedules and year-round and school-year schedules.

Second, revenue from the tax could be used for “establishment or improvement of infrastructure for eligible Centers and Providers, including lease, purchase, development, maintenance, or improvement of facilities, as well as shared administrative, human resource services, and employee benefits.”

Third, tax revenue could be used for the administrative costs of the City that are necessary to implement the tax, not to exceed 10 percent of each year’s tax revenue.

Fourth, revenue from the tax could be used to pay stipends to members of an oversight committee to be established pursuant to the ordinance. The oversight committee’s role would be to monitor the implementation of the ordinance; review financial information relevant to the tax; conduct regular public hearings on the program and report public input; evaluate the program; and advise regarding the compensation levels for teachers and staff noted above.

The ordinance calls for an “administrative organization,” which may be a non-profit entity or the City’s Parks and Recreation Department, to administer aspects of the ordinance.