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Executive Summary
Background

From March to July 2022, Seed Collaborative (Seed), in collaboration with Brion Economics, Inc. (Brion) and the San Mateo County Child Care Partnership Council (CCPC), facilitated data collection and analysis as part of a study on trends in the child care workforce (henceforth referred to as “study”). Seed’s role in the study was to gather data to understand the following:

- Needs of child care workers in the County of San Mateo
- Current wages, benefits, education and credential/permit levels of workers
- Number of vacant child care slots
- Amount of turnover in staff
- Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on staff

Results of the data will help inform the development of recommendations within the County on how to best utilize public resources to further support child care and learning efforts.
Executive Summary

Process and High-Level Themes/Trends

As part of the data collection, Seed first conducted conversations with child care workers via individual interviews and focus group, which formulated the basis of an online, county-wide child care workforce survey that Seed created and distributed. The results from interviews, focus groups and survey suggested certain trends including the following:

- **Wages and benefits** are currently stagnant in the sector and need to be increased/competitive to attract additional workers.

- **Current workers would like to see more employee benefits** (e.g., increased vacation days, wellness days) and **more resources for their child care programs** (e.g., increased financial support for renovations, increased budget for materials).

- **Children have experienced delayed development** (e.g., problem solving, motor functions, etc.) as a result of COVID-19 pandemic.
High-Level Themes/Trends

There also emerged slight variations (i.e., unexpected data points, data points that didn’t visibly emerge in focus group/interviews) within both data sets. Some of those variations included:

- Around 67% (67/101)\(^1\) of directors/owners/administrators have noted child care vacancies for their programs, while 41% (41/101) have waitlists. Several participants in the focus group noted waitlists for infant/toddler care and vacancies for preschool/school-aged children; survey data suggests a waitlist for preschool/school-aged children as well. However, data overall also suggests more vacancies than waitlists across sector.

- Most workers surveyed 66% (212/323) plan to stay in the sector in the next 12 months; however, data suggests the demographic most inclined to leave the sector are 18-39 year olds.

\(^1\): There were a total of 323 survey responses. However, certain questions were asked specifically to directors, owners, and administrators; for those questions, total number of responses is at 101.
Process Overview
Data Collection and Analysis Methodology

• **Focus Group/Interviews**
  - Focus group/interviews conducted March 24 – March 28
  - 1 English-language focus group (approximately 20 participants)
  - 2 individual Spanish-language interviews
  - 2 X $25 gift card incentives offered
  - One round of distillation of interview/focus group notes; one round of coding

• **County-Wide Online Survey**
  - Survey open May 13 – June 3
  - 43 questions total (including a specific batch of questions solely for administrators/directors/owners)
  - Survey offered in English, Spanish and Traditional Chinese
  - 323 total responses (272 in English, 43 in Spanish, and 8 in Chinese)
  - Review of all aggregated data; disaggregation of select data points
  - 4 X $75 gift card incentives offered
Focus
Group/Interview Analysis
Landscape of the Sector

• Overall, workers expressed **challenges** within the child care sector - particularly in light of the pandemic - as it relates to:
  • Compensation
  • Enrollment
  • Changes in child development

• Issues highlighted included the following:
  
  • **Challenges with hiring and a lack of staff.** Some reasons included **inability to offer competitive pay/benefits packages** and challenges **finding qualified/credentialed applicant pool**

  • Some workers noted a **diminished enrollment of preschool and school-aged children**; others have **waitlist** for infants and toddlers
When asked about increased demand for infant care, workers expressed some of the challenges with accommodating infants, including:

- **Having the necessary equipment and infrastructure** to support infants;
- **Fulfilling necessary training requirements**;
- **Finding qualified staffing**.

Some sites had to **close temporarily** due to COVID-19 exposures.

Workers overall expressed **stagnation regarding pay and benefits**.

Family child care programs were perceived to be **struggling more than centers** due to lack of infrastructure and stability (i.e. not as much staff, not as many employee benefits, etc.) compared to centers.
Child Development Themes

- Children are showing up developmentally younger than their actual age. Some of these changes included:
  - Challenges with problem solving (i.e., talking with each other to resolve conflict; sharing objects; expressing feelings)
  - Lagging social/emotional development, including emotion expression
  - Lagging motor development including balance
  - Challenges with clarity of speech
- Noticeable stress and anxiety in children; some workers noticed that parental stress may have been transferred to the children
- Some workers expressed that with smaller classroom sizes (i.e., small teacher to student ratios), they can provide better support and attention to students.
Suggested Changes for the Sector

- Workers expressed a myriad of solutions they would like to see from SMC. Some of the proposed ideas included:
  - More financial support (i.e., for materials, supplies, renovation costs)
  - More educational support
  - More support with marketing/advertising of child care services
  - More support for for-profit family child care
  - Keeping smaller classroom sizes (i.e., smaller teacher to student ratios)
  - Establishing a network of child care workers (for example, network could be useful if a child care worker was out sick and a program/center needed to find a temporary replacement)
Survey Findings
Survey Overview

• Seed utilized the focus group/interview findings as the basis of the survey development. As such, the survey inquired about the following:
  • **Employment conditions** (i.e., age of children served by place of employment, language(s) used for instruction, impact of COVID on workplace and child development)
  • **Quality of life** (i.e., cost of living metrics, employee satisfaction, wanting to leave or stay in the child care sector)
  • **Opportunities for change** in the sector
  • **Demographics** (i.e., wages, employee benefits, education and credentialing/training levels)
Survey Overview

- Overall, survey aligned with focus group/interview findings, such as:
  - Noticeable changes in child development due to impact of COVID-19 pandemic
  - Desire for increased worker benefits and compensation

- Slight variations or unexpected data results included:
  - **Waitlists vs vacancies per demographic:** Focus group/interview findings against survey findings differed on frequency of waitlists and vacancies for child care slots. However, survey indicates vacancies overall across the sector.
  - **Sector Growth:** Focus group/interview findings suggest challenges in hiring more staff (i.e. not providing alluring benefits package). Most workers surveyed 66% \((212/323)\) plan to stay in the sector in the next 12 months; however, data suggests the demographic most inclined to leave the sector are 18-39 year olds.

- The following slides will show aggregated data results of sector demographics, followed by additional analysis of the survey findings.
### Sector Demographics Information: Zip Codes Where Child Care Employees Work (View 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># of Responses</th>
<th>Zip Code/City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>94080: South San Francisco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>94401: San Mateo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>94025: Menlo Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>94404: San Mateo; Foster City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>94014: Daly City; Colma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>94303: East Palo Alto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>94402: San Mateo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>94403: San Mateo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>94044: Pacifica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>94019: Half Moon Bay; El Granada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>94015: Daly City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>94066: San Bruno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>94063: Redwood City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>94061: Redwood City; Woodside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>94010: Burlingame; Hillsborough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>94002: Belmont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>94062: Redwood City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>94030: Millbrae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>94070: San Carlos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>94027: Atherton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>94038: Moss Beach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>94037: Montara</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>94028: Portola Valley</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Sector Demographics Information**

What title best describes your role at work?

- Preschool/Pre-Kinder Teacher: 104
- Owner: 54
- Infant/Toddler Teacher: 31
- Director: 31
- Assistant Teacher/Aide: 27
- Manager/Supervisor: 24
- After School Care Teacher: 19
- Administrator: 16
- Other: 9
- Family, Child Care Assistant: 3
- Before/After School Counselor: 2
- Nanny/Private Babysitter: 2
- Family, Friend or Neighbor (FNF): 1
What type of facility/program do you currently work in? Select all that apply:

- Licensed child care program: 206
- Nonprofit center: 63
- School district-operated center: 62
- Large family child care: 34
- Small family child care: 29
- Private/for profit center: 19
- City-operated center: 16
- Parent co-op: 13
- License-exempt child care program: 12
- Other (Fill in the blank): 9
- Faith-based: 3
- Not Applicable: 2
- Heritage School: 2
Sector Demographics Information: Race/Ethnicity

Demographics: Workforce Survey

- Latino, Hispanic, or Spanish: 43.65%
- Asian: 21.67%
- White: 21.36%
- Prefer Not to Answer: 4.33%
- Multi-Racial: 3.72%
- Other Race: 3.10%
- Black or African American: 1.24%
- Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander: 0.93%

Demographics: San Mateo County

SMC data representing 2019 demographics; 24% of county identify as Latino

Source for SMC data: datausa.io
Sector Demographics Information

What is your gender identity?

- Woman/Female: 306
- Man/Male: 12
- Prefer Not to Answer: 5
**Sector Demographics Information**

How old are you?

- Between 18 and 29 years of age: 34
- Between 30 and 39 years of age: 63
- Between 40 and 49 years of age: 75
- Between 50 and 64 years of age: 134
- 65 years of age or older: 17
Sector Demographics Information

How many hours per week do you work?

- Under 15 hours: 9
- Up to 30 hours: 40
- Up to 40 hours: 160
- More than 40 hours: 114
Sector Demographics Information

How long have you worked in the child care and/or early learning field?

- 0-5 years: 65
- 6-10 years: 53
- 11-15 years: 50
- 16-20 years: 56
- Over 20 years: 99
Sector Demographics Information

Are you planning to leave your job within the next 12 months?

- Yes: 37
- No: 212
- Undecided: 74
If "Yes" in planning to leave your job in the next 12 months, please select all reasons that apply.

- Insufficient Pay: 23
- Pursuing a career change: 14
- Insufficient benefits: 12
- No opportunity for growth: 9
- Family-related reasons: 11
- Other (fill in the blank): 7
- Moving out of the area: 7
- Concern about exposure to COVID-19: 7
- Pursuing education opportunities: 6
- Health-related reasons: 2
- Concern about how to address developmental changes in children: 1

* For "Other", responses included wanting to retire, working under difficult leadership or management, and inability to afford cost of living.
Sector Demographics Information

On average, what are you paid each month before taxes (total gross pay)?

- Less than $1,000: 2.79%
- $1,001 to $2,000: 20.43%
- $2,001 to $3,000: 17.96%
- $3,001 to $4,000: 23.53%
- $4,001 to $5,000: 13.62%
- $5,001 to $6,000: 5.88%
- $6,001 to $7,000: 4.02%
- $7,001 to $8,000: 2.48%
- More than $8,000 per month: 5.26%
- I am not paid a salary: 4.02%
Sector Demographics Information

What is your hourly rate before taxes?

- $14 or less per hour: 2.17% (7)
- $15-$17 per hour: 8.05% (26)
- $18-$20 per hour: 11.76% (38)
- $21-$25 per hour: 22.29% (72)
- $26-$30 per hour: 16.10% (52)
- $31-$35 per hour: 9.91% (32)
- $36-$40 per hour: 3.41% (11)
- $40 or more per hour: 3.41% (11)
- I am not paid an hourly rate: 22.91% (74)
In the past 24 months, have you used public benefits/assistance (i.e., SNAP benefits, Medi-Cal)?

- Yes (16%)
- No (84%)

Yes (53) No (270)
If “Yes” to using benefits, please select all the benefits you have used.

- Medi-Cal
- Stimulus checks (state and/or federal)
- CalFRESH
- Unemployment
- Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children (WIC)
- CalWORKs
- Disability Insurance (DI)
- Supplemental Security Income (SSI)
- Medicare
What is the highest level of education you have completed? Please select the closest option.
Sector Demographics Information

What credentials/trainings have you received? Select all that apply.
How old are the children served by your place of employment? Select all that apply.

- 17% Infant (0-17 months)
- 23% Toddler (18-30 months)
- 47% Preschool (2.5 < 5 years)
- 13% School age (5+ years)
Sector Demographics Information

What languages are used for instruction in your classroom or child care program? Select all that apply.

- English: 307
- Spanish: 176
- Chinese (including Mandarin, Cantonese): 32
- Arabic: 14
- Tagalog: 11
- Other (Fill in the blank): 10
- Portuguese: 8
- Hindi: 4
- Russian: 3
- French: 3
- Vietnamese: 1

* For "Other", responses included American Sign Language (ASL), Japanese, Marathi, Gujarati, and Bengali.
**Landscape of the Sector**

**Challenges with hiring and a lack of staff.** 60% (60 of 101) of owners/directors/administrators said they needed to raise wages to attract more staff, and 31% (31 of 101) say they are currently understaffed.

*This question was shown specifically to owners, directors, and administrators; therefore, totally number of responses to this question are 101.*
Landscape of the Sector

Survey Findings

Is your program currently understaffed or overstaffed?

- Understaffed: 31
- Overstaffed: 7
- Neither. There are enough staff at my program: 55
- I Don’t Know: 8

*This question was shown specifically to owners, directors, and administrators; therefore, total number of responses to this question are 101.*
Most workers are renters (52% or 169/323); however, home ownership reflect potential class and racial stratification (i.e. higher wage workers and workers who identify as White being more inclined to own homes).
Landscape of Sector

Per the survey results, salaries for child care workers averages around **$3,000-$4,000 gross per month**. When wages are compared to cost of living metrics, lower wage earners tend to struggle more than higher wage earners to afford various living expenses. However, individuals making higher amount overall are able to afford cost of living, while still struggling to afford rent/mortgage costs.

Cost of Living Metrics Against Monthly Pay

* Indicates low response rate (<10 responses) per demographic
Landscape of Sector

Survey Findings

Cost of Living Metrics Against Monthly Pay

- $5,001 to $6,000
- $6,001 to $7,000
- * $7,001 to $8,000

More than $8,000 per month

I am not paid a salary

KEY
- Able to afford:
  - 1. I am able to afford the cost of living in my area, plus save additional money
  - 2. I am able to afford the cost of living in my area, but I do not have money left over for savings
  - 3. I work more than one job to afford the cost of living in my area

- Struggle to afford:
  - 4. My rent/mortgage
  - 5. Food
  - 6. Child care
  - 7. Transportation services
  - 8. Healthcare and related items
  - 9. Personal care expenses
  - 10. Miscellaneous or unexpected expenses

* Indicates low response rate (<10 responses) per demographic
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Count (All)</th>
<th>Less than $1,000</th>
<th>$1,001 to $2,000</th>
<th>$2,001 to $3,000</th>
<th>$3,001 to $4,000</th>
<th>$4,001 to $5,000</th>
<th>$5,001 to $6,000</th>
<th>$6,001 to $7,000</th>
<th>$7,001 to $8,000</th>
<th>More than $8,000 per month</th>
<th>I am not paid a salary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>323.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q15: Which option(s) below best describes your ability to maintain the cost of living in your area? Select all options that apply.

Cost of living assumes expenses such as rent/mortgage, food, transportation, medical services, personal care, and miscellaneous expenses.

- I am able to afford the cost of living in my area, plus save additional money
- I am able to afford the cost of living in my area, but I do not have money left over for savings
- I work more than one job to afford the cost of living in my area
- I struggle to afford my rent/mortgage
- I struggle to afford food
- I struggle to afford child care
- I struggle to afford transportation services (including gas, rideshare and/or public transport)
- I struggle to afford healthcare and related items (i.e., doctors visits, medications, etc.)
- I struggle to afford personal care expenses (i.e., hair styling, beauty supplies, clothes, gym membership, etc.)
- I struggle to afford miscellaneous or unexpected expenses (i.e., going out to a restaurant, sending money to family, repairing my home/apartment)

- Cost of living against Pay (alternative visual)
Landscape of the Sector

Most workers receive some type of benefits (63% or 205/323), but family child care programs seem to offer less benefit opportunities to workers.

Does your place of employment provide you with benefits?

* Indicates low response rate (<10 responses) per demographic
Roughly 67% (67 of 101) of survey respondents reported having vacancies for child care spaces, while 41% (41 of 101) reported having waitlists. Several participants in the focus group noted waitlists for infant/toddler care and vacancies for preschool/school-aged children; survey data suggests a waitlist for preschool/school-aged children as well. However, data overall also suggests more vacancies than waitlists across sector.

### Waitlist per Age Demographic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Demographic</th>
<th>Waitlist Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preschool (2.5 &lt; 5 years)</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toddler (18-30 months)</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant (0-17 months)</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School age (5 years+)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I Don’t Know</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This question was shown specifically to owners, directors, and administrators. Total number of survey respondents for this question were 41 out of 101.*
Survey Findings

Landscape of Sector

Vacancies per Age Demographic

This question was shown specifically to owners, directors, and administrators. Total number of survey respondents to this question were 67 out of 101.
Most workers (66% or 214/323) have noticed changes in child development as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. These changes include the following below:

Survey Findings
Survey respondents shared their suggested changes for the sector, which reflected a request for more benefits (e.g., vacation time, higher salaries, mental health support) and more resources (e.g., more budget for child care materials, bigger space/house for child care, more staff).
Study Limitations
Data Collection Limitations

- **Focus Group Limitations**
  - Did not speak with Chinese-speaking child care workers due to resource constraints
  - Focus group for Spanish-speaking child care workers turned into individual interviews because of low responses and timing constraints when scheduling focus group

- **Survey Limitations**
  - **Response rate**
    - Unclear if survey reached mass population of child care workers in SMC (i.e. unclear if survey data is representative of child care population in SMC)
    - Response rate is estimated between 15-50%; response rate range was determined through the survey outreach list, which included the name of agency and organizational lead and the approximate number of child care workers within the respective agency’s network
    - 104 of 323 responses derived from Preschool/Pre-K teachers
  - **Outreach**
    - Relatively low number of workforce contact information for direct distribution; the survey was mostly distributed via email to agency and organization leads/intermediaries, who were then asked to distribute the survey within their network. San Mateo County was required to protect the privacy of child care workers and could not share emails directly with Seed, in some cases.
Data Collection Limitations

Duplicate/fraud responses
• Survey received duplicate/fraud responses (approximately 175 responses); may have been incentive driven. Survey was programmed to identify potentially fraudulent/duplicate responses; any identified as such were deleted.

Potential misinterpretation/mis-framing of questions
• For example, in the instance of questions regarding compensation (both hourly and salaried), the response option of "I am not paid an hourly rate" (74 out of 323) and "I am not paid a salary" (13 out of 323) could have been misread or misinterpreted by survey respondents. For example, respondents could have interpreted "I am not paid an hourly rate" to indicate either a lack of financial compensation or that they are salaried workers.
• For question regarding changes to see in sector using ranking options, unclear if respondents navigated the ranking tool easily.
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